IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

C.O.C.P. No. 703 of 2005.
Date of Decision: November 22, 2006.

Paramjit Kaur

....**Petitioner**

through
Mr. R.S.Longia, Advocate

Versus

V.K.Garg

...**Respondent**
through
Mr. Madan Mohan, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT,J.(ORAL)

The petitioner filed CWP No.3433 of 2005 which was disposed of by this Court with a direction that her representation dated 20.12.2004 by passing a well reasoned speaking order, within three months from the date a certified copy of the said order was received.

It appears that the aforesaid representation was addressed to the General Manager but the direction to decide the same was issued to the Sub Divisional Engineer (Group). The representation was, however, disposed of by the Sub Divisional Engineer (Group), Kurukshetra by passing an order dated 14.6.2005 and the claim put forth therein was turned down on the ground that the petitioner has not challenged the order of termination of her services.

Apparently, the representation has been rejected merely on the ground that no specific prayer was made by the petitioner to set aside the order terminating her service. The petitioner does not appear to be sure as to whether the Competent Authority is the General Manager or Sub Divisional Engineer (Group).

Consequently, and having regard to the fact that the petitioner belongs to the poor strata of society, this petition is disposed of with liberty to her to make another representation in which she may, if so advised, question the legality and propriety of termination of her services as well. The said representation may be addressed to both General Manager as well as SDE (Group), who shall determine *inter-se* as to who is the Competent Authority and thereafter dispose of the same by passing a reasoned order and shall not turn down the same on the technical ground. The entire exercise shall be carried out within two months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Disposed of.

Rule discharged.

November 22, 2006. **(SURYA KANT)**
dinesh **JUDGE**